Appendix 3

Equality Impact Assessment

The Equality Act 2010 replaces the previous anti-discrimination laws with a single Act. It simplifies the law, removing inconsistencies and making it easier for people to understand and comply with it. It also strengthens the law in important ways, to help tackle discrimination and equality. The majority of the Act came into force on 1 October 2010.

Public bodies are required in it to have due regard to the need to:

- eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment, victimisation and any other conduct prohibited under the Act
- advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and people who do not share it, and
- foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and people who do not share it.

The public sector Equality Duty came into force on 5 April 2011. The duty ensures that all public bodies play their part in making society fairer by tackling discrimination and providing equality of opportunity for all. It ensures that public bodies consider the needs of all individuals in their day to day work – in shaping policy, delivering services and in relation to their own employees.

The Equality Duty encourages public bodies to understand how different people will be affected by their activities so that policies and services are appropriate and accessible to all and meet different people's needs. By understanding the effect of their activities on different people, and how inclusive public services can support and open up people's opportunities, public bodies are better placed to deliver policies and services that are efficient and effective.

The new equality duty replaces the three previous public sector equality duties, for race, disability and gender. The new equality duty covers the following protected characteristics:

- age
- disability
- gender reassignment
- pregnancy and maternity
- race this includes ethnic or national origins, colour or nationality
- · religion or belief including lack of belief
- sex
- · sexual orientation.

It also applies to marriage and civil partnership, but only in respect of the requirement to have due regard to the need to eliminate discrimination.

The Council has also decided to treat people who have care experience as if they had a protected characteristic under the law.

Having due regard means consciously thinking about the three aims of the equality duty as part of the process of decision-making. This means that consideration of equality issues must influence the decisions reached by public bodies, including how they act as employers, how they develop, evaluate and review policies, how they design, deliver and evaluate services, and how they commission and procure from others.

Having due regard to the need to advance equality of opportunity involves considering the need to:

- remove or minimise disadvantages suffered by people due to their protected characteristics
- meet the needs of people with protected characteristics, and
- encourage people with protected characteristics to participate in public life or in other activities where their participation is low.

Fostering good relations involves tackling prejudice and promoting understanding between people who share a protected characteristic and others.

Complying with the equality duty may involve treating some people better than others, as far as this is allowed by discrimination law. For example, it may involve making use of an exception or the positive action provisions in order to provide a service in a way which is appropriate for people who share a protected characteristic.

The Equality Duty also explicitly recognises that disabled people's needs may be different from those of non-disabled people. Public bodies should therefore take account of disabled people's impairments when making decisions about policies or services. This might mean making reasonable adjustments or treating disabled people better than non-disabled people in order to meet their needs.

There is no explicit requirement to refer to the Equality Duty in recording the process of consideration but it is good practice to do so. Keeping a record of how decisions were reached will help public bodies demonstrate that they considered the aims of the Equality Duty. Keeping a record of how decisions were reached will help public bodies show how they considered the Equality Duty. Producing an Equality Impact Assessment after a decision has been reached will not achieve compliance with the Equality Duty.

It is recommended that assessments are carried out in respect of new or revised policies and that a copy of the assessment is included as an appendix to the report provided to the decision makers at the relevant Cabinet, Committee or Scrutiny meeting.

Where it is clear from initial consideration that a policy will not have any effect on equality for any of the protected characteristics, no further analysis or action is necessary.

Public bodies should take a proportionate approach when complying with the Equality Duty. In practice, this means giving greater consideration to the Equality Duty where a policy or function has the potential to have a discriminatory effect or impact on equality of opportunity, and less consideration where the potential effect on equality is slight. The Equality Duty requires public bodies to think about people's different needs and how these can be met.

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA)

Directorate:	Chief Execs	Title of the Lead	Head of
		Officer responsible	Communities and
		for EIA	Community Safety
Name of the policy or function to be		Safeguarding Adults Policy 2025-28	
assessed:			
Title of the Officer undertaking the		Head of Communities and Community	
assessment:		Safety	
Is this a new or an existing policy or		Existing Policy	
function?			

1. What are the aims and objectives of the policy or function?

This policy sets out the Council's commitment to safeguarding adults who are at risk and how it will meet its moral and statutory obligations through decision making, service delivery, and other appropriate actions and developments.

Broxtowe Borough Council's (the Council's) Vision for Broxtowe is "A greener, safer, healthier Broxtowe, where everyone prospers"

The Council's corporate priority for Community Safety is "A safe place for everyone"

2. What outcomes do you want to achieve from the policy or function?

Implement and maintain systems of working practice to safeguard vulnerable adults during council activities

To fully assist Nottinghamshire County Council and other relevant agencies in the safeguarding and promotion of the welfare of adults with care and support needs who are experiencing, or at risk of, abuse or neglect.

To develop and implement appropriate procedures to ensure the well-being of adults in need of safeguarding to protect them from harm

To provide employees, Councillors and volunteers (working on behalf of Broxtowe BC) with training, guidance and support to assist them in recognising and responding to indicators of possible abuse or neglect

Directorate:	Chief Execs	Title of the Lead	Head of
		Officer responsible	Communities and
		for EIA	Community Safety

To ensure that all employees working with adults with care and support needs can identify the signs and symptoms of the ten types of neglect and abuse

To ensure that all employees understand and follow the relevant procedures when they have concerns about adult abuse or neglect.

To ensure that any appropriate Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) checks are completed, as determined by Broxtowe Borough Council's Recruitment and Selection Policy, for employees that work with, or have significant access to, adults at risk of abuse or neglect.

To facilitate the Adults at Risk Panel in Broxtowe. This is a multi-agency forum which meets to share intelligence on known adults at risk and other adults who may be considered vulnerable (including medium risk victims of domestic violence and abuse), to ensure all appropriate help and support can be provided.

3. Who is intended to benefit from the policy or function?

Adults in the borough who may be considered at risk of abuse or neglect.

4. Who are the main stakeholders in relation to the policy or function?

Adult residents of the borough; Broxtowe borough Council staff, Nottinghamshire Safeguarding Adults Board; Nottinghamshire County Council; relevant partner agencies, charities and organisations.

5. What baseline quantitative data do you have about the policy or function relating to the different equality strands?

The number of concerns received in 2023/24 increased to over 10,000 for the first time. Whilst that represents a 15% increase on the previous year, it should be noted that Nottinghamshire saw a drop in referral numbers received in 2022/23 compared to 2021/22, bucking the national trend of year-on-year increases. This decrease has since reversed and the county has fallen back into line with the national picture, with early indications being that this trend will continue.

Figures regarding the gender split of safeguarding concerns in the county remained roughly the same in 2023/24 as in 2022/23. Females accounted for 61% in 2022/23, dropping slightly to 58% in 2023/24. Males saw a broadly corresponding increase from 38% in 2022/23 to 40% in 2023/24, with the final increase in 'gender unknown', from 1% in 2022/23 to 2% in 2023/24.

Overall, the age of adults involved in safeguarding enquiries was similar in 2023/24 to the previous year, with just over half of all enquiries concerning adults aged 65 or above, and just under half concerning adults aged 18 to 65. The largest cohort of adults aged over 65 experiencing abuse remained those aged 75 to 84, and the second largest cohort remained those aged 85 to 94, with the picture for the third and fourth largest cohorts also broadly replicating the ratios from the previous year

Directorate:	Chief Execs	Title of the Lead Officer responsible for EIA	Head of Communities and Community Safety			
(Nottinghamshire Safeguarding Adults Board Annual Report 2023-24)						
6. What baseline qualitative data do you have about the policy or function relating to the different equality strands?						
None available						
7. What has stakeholder consultation, if carried out, revealed about the nature of the impact?						
Not undertaken.						
8. From the evidence available does the policy or function affect or have the potential to affect different equality groups in different ways? In assessing whether the policy or function adversely affects any particular group or presents an opportunity for promoting equality, consider the questions below in relation to each equality group:						
☐ Does the policy or function target or exclude a specific equality group or community? Does it affect some equality groups or communities differently? If yes, can this be justified?						
The policy does not	adversely affect any i	individual group.				
	Some of the issues highlighted in the policy affect individual groups such as forced marriage. The targeting of this is wholly justified on the basis that it is now illegal.					
	_	pe equally accessed an this be justified?	by all equality			
	_	e access difficult or accessing the polic	-			
☐ Could the policy or function promote or contribute to equality and good relations between different groups? If so, how?						
•	positively addressing be seen as beneficial.	the issue of safeguar	ding adults across			
☐ What further o	evidence is needed	to understand the im	npact on equality?			
None						

9. On the basis of the analysis above what actions, if any, will you need to take in respect of each of the equality strands?
Age: No further action required
Disability: No further action required
Gender: No further action required
Gender Reassignment: No further action required
Marriage and Civil Partnership: No further action required
Pregnancy and Maternity: No further action required
Race: No further action required
Religion and Belief: No further action required
Sexual Orientation: No further action required
Care Experience: No further action required
Chief Officer: Chief Executive
I am satisfied with the results of this EIA. I undertake to review and monitor progress against the actions proposed in response to this impact assessment.
Signature: